

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT
pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

000-15701
(Commission file number)

NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of incorporation)

1185 Linda Vista Drive
San Marcos, California 92078
(Address of principal executive offices)

84-1007839
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

(760) 744-7340
(Registrant's telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether Natural Alternatives International, Inc. (NAI) (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that NAI was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether NAI has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that NAI was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether NAI is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether NAI is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of November 14, 2012, 6,933,254 shares of NAI's common stock were outstanding, net of 389,423 treasury shares.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	1
PART I	
<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
Item 1.	
<u>Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2.	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	15
Item 4.	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	20
PART II	
<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	21
Item 1.	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	21
Item 1A.	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	21
Item 2.	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	22
Item 3.	
<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	22
Item 5.	
<u>Other Information</u>	22
Item 6.	
<u>Exhibits</u>	22
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	26

SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this report, including information incorporated by reference, are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements reflect current views about future events and financial performance based on certain assumptions. They include opinions, forecasts, intentions, plans, goals, projections, guidance, expectations, beliefs or other statements that are not statements of historical fact. Words such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “would,” “expects,” “plans,” “believes,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “estimates,” “approximates,” “predicts,” or “projects,” or the negative or other variation of such words, and similar expressions may identify a statement as a forward-looking statement. Any statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our business, our goals, strategies, focus and plans, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances, including statements expressing general optimism about future operating results, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements in this report may include statements about the following:

- future financial and operating results, including projections of net sales, revenue, income or loss, net income or loss per share, profit margins, expenditures, liquidity, and other financial items;
- our ability to develop relationships with new customers and maintain or improve existing customer relationships;
- our ability to protect our intellectual property;
- the outcome of litigation, regulatory and tax matters, the costs associated with such matters and the effect of such matters on our business and results of operations;
- currency exchange rates, their effect on our results of operations, including amounts that may be reclassified as earnings, our ability to effectively hedge against foreign exchange risks and the extent to which we may seek to hedge against such risks;
- future levels of our revenue concentration risk;
- sources and availability of raw materials;
- inventories, including the adequacy of inventory levels to meet future customer demand and the adequacy and intended use of our facilities;
- development of new products and marketing strategies;
- our ability to increase our marketing and advertising efforts for our Pathway to Healing® product line, the timing of such efforts and their effect on future sales;
- manufacturing and distribution channels, product sales and performance, and timing of product shipments;
- current or future customer orders;
- the impact on our business and results of operations and variations in quarterly net sales from seasonal and other factors;
- inflation rates and their impact on our operations and profitability;
- management’s goals and plans for future operations;
- our ability to improve operational efficiencies, manage costs and business risks and improve or maintain profitability;
- growth, expansion, diversification, acquisition, divestment and consolidation strategies, the success of such strategies, and the benefits we believe can be derived from such strategies;
- personnel;
- our ability to operate within the standards set by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP);
- our ability to successfully expand our operations outside the United States (U.S.);
- the adequacy of reserves and allowances;
- overall industry and market performance;
- competition and competitive advantages resulting from our quality commitment;
- current and future economic and political conditions;
- the impact of accounting pronouncements; and
- other assumptions described in this report underlying or relating to any forward-looking statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

The forward-looking statements in this report speak only as of the date of this report and caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to certain events, risks, and uncertainties that may be outside of our control. When considering forward-looking statements, you should carefully review the risks, uncertainties and other cautionary statements in this report as they identify certain important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by the forward-looking statements. These factors include, among others, the risks described under Item 1 A of Part II and elsewhere in this report, as well as in other reports and documents we file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to the “Company,” “NAI,” “we,” “our,” and “us” refer to Natural Alternatives International, Inc. and, as applicable, Natural Alternatives International Europe S.A. (NAIE), and our other wholly owned subsidiaries.

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(In thousands, except share and per share data)**

	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2012
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,419	\$ 14,478
Accounts receivable - less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$129 at September 30, 2012 and \$122 at June 30, 2012	7,298	8,751
Inventories, net	9,295	8,355
Deferred income taxes	699	699
Income tax receivable	285	356
Prepays and other current assets	1,345	1,880
Total current assets	<u>33,341</u>	<u>34,519</u>
Property and equipment, net	10,125	10,647
Deferred income taxes	1,471	1,471
Long-term pension asset	87	89
Other noncurrent assets, net	384	471
Total assets	<u>\$ 45,408</u>	<u>\$ 47,197</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,120	\$ 3,918
Accrued liabilities	1,143	1,259
Accrued compensation and employee benefits	1,031	1,331
Income taxes payable	420	328
Total current liabilities	<u>4,714</u>	<u>6,836</u>
Other noncurrent liabilities, net	18	—
Deferred rent	428	493
Total liabilities	<u>5,160</u>	<u>7,329</u>
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock; \$.01 par value; 500,000 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding	0	0
Common stock; \$.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding (net of treasury shares) 6,933,254 at September 30, 2012 and 6,938,687 at June 30, 2012	72	72
Additional paid-in capital	19,607	19,530
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(145)	99
Retained earnings	22,794	22,097
Treasury stock, at cost, 389,423 shares at September 30, 2012 and 361,990 at June 30, 2012	<u>(2,080)</u>	<u>(1,930)</u>
Total stockholders' equity	<u>40,248</u>	<u>39,868</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 45,408</u>	<u>\$ 47,197</u>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Income And Comprehensive Income
(In thousands, except share and per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Net sales	\$ 16,460	\$ 18,341
Cost of goods sold	12,876	13,658
Gross profit	3,584	4,683
Selling, general & administrative expenses	2,555	2,336
Income from operations	1,029	2,347
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	9	5
Interest expense	(6)	(35)
Foreign exchange (loss) gain	(15)	111
Other, net	—	2
Total other (expense) income	(12)	83
Income before income taxes	1,017	2,430
Provision for income taxes	320	902
Net income	\$ 697	\$ 1,528
Unrealized (loss) gain resulting from change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax	(244)	394
Comprehensive income	\$ 453	\$ 1,922
Net income per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.22
Diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.22
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	6,917,807	7,013,257
Diluted	6,929,003	7,013,868

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Cash Flows
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net Income	\$ 697	\$ 1,528
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	756	794
Increase in uncollectible accounts receivable	7	46
Non-cash compensation	40	56
Pension expense	2	13
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,446	(3,411)
Inventories, net	(940)	(2,575)
Other assets	234	6
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(1,979)	1,513
Income taxes	325	752
Accrued compensation and employee benefits	(300)	(111)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	<u>288</u>	<u>(1,389)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities		
Capital expenditures	<u>(233)</u>	<u>(420)</u>
Net cash used by investing activities	<u>(233)</u>	<u>(420)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities		
Repurchase of common stock	(151)	(19)
Issuance of common stock	37	—
Net cash used by financing activities	<u>(114)</u>	<u>(19)</u>
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(59)	(1,828)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	14,478	15,461
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 14,419</u>	<u>\$ 13,633</u>
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Taxes	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 155</u>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

A. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and applicable rules and regulations. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. In management's opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows have been included and are of a normal, recurring nature. The results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full fiscal year or any future periods.

You should read the financial statements and these notes, which are an integral part of the financial statements, together with our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 ("2012 Annual Report"). The accounting policies used to prepare the financial statements included in this report are the same as those described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements in our 2012 Annual Report unless otherwise noted below.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The amendments in this update are the result of the work of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to develop common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. We adopted ASU 2011-04 during our first quarter of fiscal 2013 and there was no significant impact to our consolidated financial statements as a result of our adoption of this amendment.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 requires all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but continuous statements. If presented in two separate statements, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed immediately by a second statement of total other comprehensive income, its components and the total comprehensive income. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. ASU 2011-12 defers those changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments. The FASB has deferred those changes in order to reconsider whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. ASU 2011-12 does not impact the requirement of ASU 2011-05 to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements. We adopted ASU 2011-05 during the first quarter of fiscal 2013 and there was no significant material impact on our financial position or results of operations as a result of our adoption of this pronouncement.

Net Income per Common Share

We compute net income per common share using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, and diluted net income per common share using the additional dilutive effect of all dilutive securities. The dilutive impact of stock options account for the additional weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for our diluted net income per common share computation. We calculated basic and diluted net income per common share as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Numerator		
Net income	\$ 697	\$ 1,528
Denominator		
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	6,918	7,013
Dilutive effect of stock options	11	1
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	6,929	7,014
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.22
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.22

[Table of Contents](#)

We excluded shares related to stock options totaling 491,700 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, and 576,750 for the three months ended September 30, 2011, from the calculation of diluted net income per common share, as the effect of their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

Revenue Recognition

To recognize revenue, four basic criteria must be met: 1) there is evidence that an arrangement exists; 2) delivery has occurred; 3) the fee is fixed or determinable; and 4) collectability is reasonably assured. Revenue from sales transactions where the buyer has the right to return the product is recognized at the time of sale only if (1) the seller's price to the buyer is substantially fixed or determinable at the date of sale; (2) the buyer has paid the seller, or the buyer is obligated to pay the seller and the obligation is not contingent on resale of the product; (3) the buyer's obligation to the seller would not be changed in the event of theft or physical destruction or damage of the product; (4) the buyer acquiring the product for resale has economic substance apart from that provided by the seller; (5) the seller does not have significant obligations for future performance to directly bring about resale of the product by the buyer; and (6) the amount of future returns can be reasonably estimated. We recognize revenue upon determination that all criteria for revenue recognition have been met. The criteria are usually met at the time title passes to the customer, which usually occurs upon shipment. Revenue from shipments where title passes upon delivery is deferred until the shipment has been delivered.

We followed the provisions of ASU No. 2009-13 for all multiple element agreements. Under this guidance, the delivered item(s) has value to the customer on a standalone basis and, if the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control.

A delivered item is considered a separate unit of accounting when the delivered item has value to the partner on a standalone basis based on the consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances for each arrangement. Arrangement consideration is allocated at the inception of the agreement to all identified units of accounting based on their relative selling price. The relative selling price for each deliverable is determined using vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE, of selling price or third-party evidence of selling price if VSOE does not exist. If neither VSOE nor third-party evidence of selling price exists, we use our best estimate of the selling price for the deliverable. The amount of allocable arrangement consideration is limited to amounts that are fixed or determinable. The consideration received is allocated among the separate units of accounting, and the applicable revenue recognition criteria are applied to each of the separate units. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between delivered and undelivered elements can impact revenue recognition but do not change the total revenue recognized under any agreement. If facts and circumstances dictate that the license has standalone value from the undelivered items, the license is identified as a separate unit of accounting and the amounts allocated to the license are recognized upon the delivery of the license, assuming the other revenue recognition criteria have been met. However, if the amounts allocated to the license through the relative selling price allocation exceed the upfront license fee, the amount recognized upon the delivery of the license is limited to the upfront fee received. If facts and circumstances dictate that the license does not have standalone value, the transaction price, including any upfront license fee payments received, are allocated to the identified separate units of accounting and recognized as those items are delivered.

In addition, we enter into arrangements that provide for milestone payments upon contractually stated events. Effective July 1, 2010, we adopted on a prospective basis, the Milestone Method of accounting under ASU 2010-17. Under the Milestone Method, we recognize consideration that is contingent upon the achievement of a milestone in its entirety as revenue in the period in which the milestone is achieved only if the milestone is substantive in its entirety. A milestone is considered substantive when it meets all of the following three criteria: 1) The consideration is commensurate with either the entity's performance to achieve the milestone or the enhancement of the value of the delivered item(s) as a result of a specific outcome resulting from the entity's performance to achieve the milestone, 2) The consideration relates solely to past performance, and 3) The consideration is reasonable relative to all of the deliverables and payment terms within the arrangement. A milestone is defined as an event (i) that can only be achieved based in whole or in part on either the entity's performance or on the occurrence of a specific outcome resulting from the entity's performance, (ii) for which there is substantive uncertainty at the date the arrangement is entered into that the event will be achieved and (iii) that would result in additional payments being due to us.

We record reductions to gross revenue for estimated returns of private label contract manufacturing products and branded products. The estimated returns are based on the trailing six months of private label contract manufacturing gross sales and our historical experience for both private label contract manufacturing and branded product returns. However, the estimate for product returns does not reflect the impact of a potential large product recall resulting from product nonconformance or other factors as such events are not predictable nor is the related economic impact estimable.

We currently own certain U.S. patents, and each patent's corresponding foreign patent applications. All of these patents and patent rights relate to the ingredient known as beta-alanine marketed and sold under the CamoSyn® trade name. We have sold this ingredient to a customer for use in a limited market, and since March 2009 have had an agreement with Compound Solutions, Inc. (CSI) under which we have agreed to grant a license of certain of our patent rights to customers of CSI who purchase beta-alanine from CSI. Before October 1, 2011, we received a fee from CSI that varied based on the amount of net sales of beta-alanine sold by CSI less CSI's costs and other agreed upon expenses. As of October 1, 2011, we receive a fee from CSI that varies based on the quantity of beta-alanine sold by CSI and the source of such beta-alanine.

[Table of Contents](#)

In June 2011, we entered into a license and supply agreement (Agreement) with Abbott Laboratories (Abbott) under which we agreed to grant an exclusive license to Abbott for the use of beta-alanine in certain medical foods and medical nutritional products. Under the terms of the agreement, Abbott paid an initial license fee of \$300,000, an additional fee of \$300,000 in January 2012, and upon achievement of certain milestones, an additional license fee of \$150,000 was paid on October 3, 2012. The license and supply agreement provided Abbott with the right to terminate the agreement at any time up to March 31, 2012, at which time, if not terminated, Abbott was required to pay \$4.3 million payable over six annual payments with the initial installment payment of \$708,334 due March 31, 2012.

In February 2012 and June 2012, we amended the Agreement and extended Abbott's termination rights initially through July 31, 2012 and then further through October 31, 2012 in exchange for two payments of \$354,167 each by Abbott to NAI. Abbott made the first payment on March 13, 2012 and the second payment on July 12, 2012. In October 2012, the Agreement was amended for a third time. Unless earlier terminated by Abbott, the amendment requires Abbott to pay to NAI (i) upon earlier of achievement of certain milestones or December 1, 2012, additional license fees of \$204,167; (ii) upon earlier of achievement of certain milestones or June 1, 2013, additional license fees of \$204,167; (iii) upon earlier of achievement of certain milestones or July 1, 2013, additional license fees of \$150,000; (iv) upon earlier of achievement of certain milestones or December 1, 2013, additional license fees of \$150,000; and (v) approximately \$2.8 million payable over four annual payments beginning on March 31, 2014.

Subject to certain other conditions set forth in the Agreement and amendments, and until terminated by either party, Abbott is required to purchase certain material exclusively from NAI and make royalty payments to NAI upon Abbott's sale of products subject to the Agreement. Because Abbott may terminate the agreement at any time up to December 1, 2013, there is no assurance NAI will receive any of the additional license fees or royalty payments described above. All milestone payments are recognized as revenue at the time of receipt as the payments are non-refundable and we have no continuing obligation as it relates to each payment. We have determined that each of the milestone payments meets the definition of a milestone and each milestone is substantive in accordance with the milestone method of revenue recognition.

We recorded royalty and licensing income as a component of revenue in the amount of \$1.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and \$1.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011. These royalty income amounts result in royalty expense paid to the original patent holders from whom NAI acquired the patents and its patent rights. We recognized royalty expense as a component of cost of goods sold in the amount of \$196,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and \$262,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Stock-Based Compensation

We have an omnibus incentive plan that was approved by our Board of Directors effective as of October 15, 2009 and approved by our stockholders at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on November 30, 2009. Under the plan, we may grant nonqualified and incentive stock options and other stock-based awards to employees, non-employee directors and consultants. Our prior equity incentive plan was terminated effective as of November 30, 2009.

We estimate the fair value of stock option awards at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions. Black-Scholes uses assumptions related to volatility, the risk-free interest rate, the dividend yield (which we assume to be zero, as we have not paid any cash dividends) and employee exercise behavior. Expected volatilities used in the model are based on the historical volatility of our stock price. The risk-free interest rate is derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect in the period of grant. The expected life of stock option grants is derived from historical experience.

On September 3, 2012, we granted 12,000 restricted stock shares to the members of our Board of Directors pursuant to our 2009 Omnibus Incentive plan. Each member of our Board of Directors received 3,000 restricted shares of our common stock, which will vest over three years. These shares cannot be sold or otherwise transferred and the rights to receive dividends are forfeitable, if declared by our Board of Directors, until they become vested.

Our net income included stock based compensation expense of approximately \$40,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and approximately \$56,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

[Table of Contents](#)**Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the “exit price”) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We use a three-level hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from independent sources. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect our assumptions about the inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability and are developed based on the best information available under the circumstances.

The fair value hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the source of inputs. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs use quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. We classify cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities balances as Level 1 assets. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs are based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active and models for which all significant inputs are observable or can be corroborated, either directly or indirectly by observable market data. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. These include certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

As of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, we did not have any financial assets or liabilities classified as level 1. We classify derivative forward exchange contracts as Level 2 assets. The fair value of our forward exchange contracts as of September 30, 2012 was a net asset of \$430,000. The fair value of our forward exchange contracts as of June 30, 2012 was an asset of \$922,000. As of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, we did not have any financial assets or liabilities classified as Level 3. We did not transfer any assets between Levels 1, 2 and 3 during fiscal 2012 or the three month period ended September 30, 2012.

B. Inventories

Inventories, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2012</u>
Raw materials	\$ 7,447	\$ 6,344
Work in progress	1,276	1,058
Finished goods	1,313	1,530
Reserves	(741)	(577)
	<u>\$ 9,295</u>	<u>\$ 8,355</u>

C. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Depreciable</u> <u>Life In Years</u>	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2012</u>
Land	N/A	\$ 393	\$ 393
Building and building improvements	7 – 39	2,775	2,756
Machinery and equipment	3 – 12	26,015	25,876
Office equipment and furniture	3 – 5	3,030	3,023
Vehicles	3	136	136
Leasehold improvements	1 – 15	10,185	10,136
Total property and equipment		42,534	42,320
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization		(32,409)	(31,673)
Property and equipment, net		<u>\$ 10,125</u>	<u>\$ 10,647</u>

D. Debt

On December 16, 2010, we executed a new Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.. This Credit Agreement replaced our previous credit facility and provides us with a line of credit of up to \$5.0 million. The line of credit may be used to finance working capital requirements. In consideration for granting the line of credit, we paid a commitment fee of \$12,500 upon execution of the agreement and paid an additional commitment fee of \$12,500 in December 2011. There are no amounts currently drawn under the line of credit and the credit agreement expires on November 1, 2013.

[Table of Contents](#)

Under the terms of the Credit Agreement, borrowings are subject to eligibility requirements including maintaining (i) net income after taxes of not less than \$750,000 on a trailing four quarter basis as of the end of each calendar quarter beginning with the four quarter period ended December 31, 2010; and (ii) a ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth of not greater than 1.25 to 1.0 at any time. Any amounts outstanding under the line of credit will bear interest at a fixed or fluctuating interest rate as elected by NAI from time to time; provided, however, that if the outstanding principal amount is less than \$100,000 such amount shall bear interest at the then applicable fluctuating rate of interest. If elected, the fluctuating rate per annum would be equal to 2.75% above the daily one month LIBOR rate as in effect from time to time. If a fixed rate is elected, it would equal a per annum rate of 2.50% above the LIBOR rate in effect on the first day of the applicable fixed rate term. Any amounts outstanding under the line of credit must be paid in full on or before November 1, 2013; provided, however, that we must maintain a zero balance on advances under the line of credit for a period of at least 30 consecutive days during each fiscal year. Amounts outstanding that are subject to a fluctuating interest rate may be prepaid at any time without penalty. Amounts outstanding that are subject to a fixed interest rate may be prepaid at any time in minimum amounts of \$100,000, subject to a prepayment fee equal to the sum of the discounted monthly differences for each month from the month of prepayment through the month in which the then applicable fixed rate term matures.

Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by our accounts receivable and other rights to payment, general intangibles, inventory, equipment and fixtures. We also have a foreign exchange facility with Wells Fargo in effect until November 1, 2013, and with Bank of America, N.A. in effect until March 15, 2013.

On September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the financial and other covenants required under the Credit Agreement.

On September 22, 2006, NAIE, our wholly owned subsidiary, entered into a credit facility to provide it with a credit line of up to CHF 1.3 million, or approximately \$1.4 million, which was the initial maximum aggregate amount that could be outstanding at any one time under the credit facility. This maximum amount is reduced annually by CHF 160,000, or approximately \$170,000. On February 19, 2007, NAIE amended its credit facility to provide that the maximum aggregate amount that may be outstanding under the facility cannot be reduced below CHF 500,000, or approximately \$532,000. As of September 30, 2012, there was no outstanding balance under this credit facility.

Under its credit facility, NAIE may draw amounts either as current account loan credits to its current or future bank accounts or as fixed loans with a maximum term of 24 months. Current account loans will bear interest at the rate of 5% per annum. Fixed loans will bear interest at a rate determined by the parties based on current market conditions and must be repaid pursuant to a repayment schedule established by the parties at the time of the loan. If a fixed loan is repaid early at NAIE's election or in connection with the termination of the credit facility, NAIE will be charged a pre-payment penalty equal to 0.1% of the principal amount of the fixed loan or CHF 1,000 (approximately \$1,064), whichever is greater. The bank reserves the right to refuse individual requests for an advance under the credit facility, although its exercise of such right will not have the effect of terminating the credit facility as a whole.

We did not use our working capital line of credit nor did we have any long-term debt outstanding during the three months ended September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, we had \$5.5 million available under our credit facilities.

E. Defined Benefit Pension Plan

We sponsor a defined benefit pension plan that provides retirement benefits to employees based generally on years of service and compensation during the last five years before retirement. Effective June 20, 1999, we adopted an amendment to freeze benefit accruals to the participants. We contribute an amount not less than the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 nor more than the maximum tax-deductible amount.

The components included in the net periodic expense for the periods ended September 30 were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Interest cost	\$ 22	\$ 23
Expected return on plan assets	(20)	(10)
Net periodic expense	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ 13</u>

[Table of Contents](#)**F. Economic Dependency**

We had substantial net sales to certain customers during the periods shown in the following table. The loss of any of these customers, or a significant decline in sales, or the growth rate of sales to these customers, or in their ability to make payments when due, could have a material adverse impact on our net sales and net income. Net sales to any one customer representing 10% or more of the respective period's total private label contract manufacturing net sales were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011	
	Net Sales by Customer	% of Total Net Sales	Net Sales by Customer	% of Total Net Sales
Customer 1	\$ 2,909	20 %	\$ 4,285	26 %
Customer 2	7,724	52	8,425	51
	<u>\$ 10,633</u>	<u>72 %</u>	<u>\$ 12,710</u>	<u>77 %</u>

We buy certain products, including beta-alanine, from a limited number of raw material suppliers. The loss of any of these suppliers could have a material adverse impact on our net sales and net income. Raw material purchases from any one supplier representing 10% or more of the respective period's total raw material purchases were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011	
	Raw Material Purchases by Supplier	% of Total Raw Material Purchases	Raw Material Purchases by Supplier	% of Total Raw Material Purchases
Supplier 1	(a)	(a)	\$ 1,026	15 %
Supplier 2	(a)	(a)	724	10
	<u>(a)</u>	<u>(a)</u>	<u>\$ 1,750</u>	<u>25 %</u>

(a) Purchases were less than 10% of the respective period's total raw material purchases.

G. Segment Information

Our business consists of three segments for financial reporting purposes. The three segments are identified as (i) private label contract manufacturing, which primarily relates to the provision of private label contract manufacturing services to companies that market and distribute nutritional supplements and other health care products, (ii) patent and trademark licensing, which primarily includes royalty income from our license and supply agreements associated with the sale and use of beta-alanine under our CamosSyn® trade name and the sale of beta-alanine raw material, and (iii) branded products, which relates to the marketing and distribution of our branded nutritional supplements and consists primarily of the products sold under our Pathway to Healing® product line.

We evaluate performance based on a number of factors. The primary performance measures for each segment are net sales and income or loss from operations before corporate allocations. Operating income or loss for each segment does not include corporate general and administrative expenses, interest expense and other miscellaneous income and expense items. Corporate general and administrative expenses include, but are not limited to: human resources, corporate legal, finance, information technology, and other corporate level related expenses, which are not allocated to any segment. The accounting policies of our segments are the same as those described in Note A above and in the consolidated financial statements included in our 2012 Annual Report.

Our operating results by business segment were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Net Sales		
Private label contract manufacturing	\$ 14,744	\$ 16,606
Patent and trademark licensing	1,374	1,334
Branded products	342	401
	<u>\$ 16,460</u>	<u>\$ 18,341</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Income from Operations		
Private label contract manufacturing	\$ 1,943	\$ 2,982
Patent and trademark licensing	149	614
Branded products	34	48
Income from operations of reportable segments	2,126	3,644
Corporate expenses not allocated to segments	(1,097)	(1,297)
	<u>\$ 1,029</u>	<u>\$ 2,347</u>
	September 30,	June 30,
	2012	2012
Total Assets		
Private label contract manufacturing	\$ 43,107	\$ 43,975
Patent and trademark licensing	2,012	2,964
Branded products	289	258
	<u>\$ 45,408</u>	<u>\$ 47,197</u>

Our private label contract manufacturing products are sold both in the U.S. and in markets outside the U.S., including Europe, Australia and Asia. Our primary market outside the U.S. is Europe. Our patent and trademark licensing activities are primarily based in the U.S. and our branded products are only sold in the U.S.

Net sales by geographic region, based on the customers' location, were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
United States	\$ 9,631	\$ 10,190
Markets outside the United States	6,829	8,151
Total net sales	<u>\$ 16,460</u>	<u>\$ 18,341</u>

Products manufactured by NAIE accounted for 68% of net sales in markets outside the U.S. for the three months ended September 30, 2012, and 63% for the three months ended September 30, 2011. No products manufactured by NAIE were sold in the U.S. during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Assets and capital expenditures by geographic region, based on the location of the company or subsidiary at which they were located or made, were as follows (in thousands):

	Long-Lived Assets		Total Assets		Capital Expenditures Three Months Ended	
	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
United States	\$ 9,819	\$ 10,287	\$ 31,990	\$ 33,556	\$ 178	\$ 327
Europe	2,248	2,391	13,418	13,641	55	\$ 93
	<u>\$ 12,067</u>	<u>\$ 12,678</u>	<u>\$ 45,408</u>	<u>\$ 47,197</u>	<u>\$ 233</u>	<u>\$ 420</u>

H. Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was 31.5%. The rate differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 34% primarily due to the recognition of state taxes partially offset by the favorable impact of foreign earnings taxed at less than the U.S. statutory rate.

To determine our quarterly provision for income taxes, we use an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is based on expected annual income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available in the various jurisdictions to which we are subject. Certain significant or unusual items are separately recognized in the quarter in which they occur and can be a source of variability in the effective tax rate from quarter to quarter. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions, if any, as an income tax expense.

[Table of Contents](#)

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates, for each of the jurisdictions in which we operate, expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in operations in the period that includes the enactment date.

We are subject to taxation in the U.S., Switzerland and various state jurisdictions. Our tax years for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 and forward are subject to examination by U.S. and state tax authorities and our tax years for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 and forward are subject to examination by the Switzerland tax authorities.

We do not record U.S. income tax expense for NAIE's retained earnings that are declared as indefinitely reinvested offshore, thus reducing our overall income tax expense. The amount of earnings designated as indefinitely reinvested in NAIE is based on the actual deployment of such earnings in NAIE's assets and our expectations of the future cash needs of our U.S. and foreign entities. Income tax laws are also a factor in determining the amount of foreign earnings to be indefinitely reinvested offshore.

It is our policy to establish reserves based on management's assessment of exposure for certain positions taken in previously filed tax returns that may become payable upon audit by tax authorities. The tax reserves are analyzed at least annually, generally in the fourth quarter of each year, and adjustments are made as events occur that we believe warrant adjustments to the reserve.

I. Treasury Stock

On June 2, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.0 million of our common stock. Under the repurchase plan, we may, from time to time, purchase shares of our common stock, depending upon market conditions, in open market or privately negotiated transactions. For the year ended June 30, 2012, we purchased 75,026 shares at a weighted average cost of \$5.39 per share and a total cost of \$405,000, including commissions and fees. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we purchased an additional 27,433 shares at a weighted average cost of \$5.49 per share and a total cost of \$151,000 including commissions and fees.

J. Derivatives and Hedging

We are exposed to gains and losses resulting from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates relating to forecasted product sales denominated in foreign currencies and transactions of NAIE, our foreign subsidiary. As part of our overall strategy to manage the level of exposure to the risk of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, we may use foreign exchange contracts in the form of forward contracts. There can be no guarantee any such contracts, to the extent we enter into such contracts, will be effective hedges against our foreign currency exchange risk.

As of September 30, 2012, we have forward contracts designated as cash flow hedges primarily to protect against the foreign exchange risks inherent in our forecasted sales of products at prices denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. These contracts are expected to be settled through February 2014. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, we record the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative in accumulated other comprehensive income ("OCI") as a separate component of stockholders' equity and subsequently reclassify these amounts into earnings in the period during which the hedged transaction is recognized in earnings.

For foreign currency contracts designated as cash flow hedges, hedge effectiveness is measured using the spot rate. Changes in the spot-forward differential are excluded from the test of hedge effectiveness and are recorded currently in earnings as interest expense. We measure effectiveness by comparing the cumulative change in the hedge contract with the cumulative change in the hedged item. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we did not have any material losses or gains related to the ineffective portion of our hedging instruments. No hedging relationships were terminated as a result of ineffective hedging or forecasted transactions no longer probable of occurring for foreign currency forward contracts. We monitor the probability of forecasted transactions as part of the hedge effectiveness testing on a quarterly basis.

As of September 30, 2012, the notional amounts of our foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges were approximately \$17.1 million (EUR 12.9 million). As of September 30, 2012, a net gain of approximately \$378,000 related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges was recorded in OCI. It is expected that \$397,000 will be reclassified into earnings in the next 12 months along with the earnings effects of the related forecasted transactions.

As of September 30, 2012, the fair value of our cash flow hedges was a net asset of \$430,000 of which \$449,000 was classified in prepaids and other current assets and \$18,000 was classified in other noncurrent liabilities. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we recognized \$92,000 of net loss in OCI and reclassified \$314,000 of gains from OCI to revenue. As of September 30, 2011, the fair value of our cash flow hedges was an asset of \$715,000 and was classified in prepaids and other current assets. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we recognized \$722,000 of gains in OCI and reclassified \$97,000 of gains from OCI to revenue.

[Table of Contents](#)

K. Contingencies

From time to time, we become involved in various investigations, claims and legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. These matters may relate to product liability, employment, intellectual property, tax, regulation, contract or other matters. The resolution of these matters as they arise will be subject to various uncertainties and, even if such claims are without merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources. While unfavorable outcomes are possible, based on available information, we generally do not believe the resolution of these matters will result in a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition, or results of operation. However, a settlement payment or unfavorable outcome could adversely impact our results of operation. Our evaluation of the likely impact of these actions could change in the future and we could have unfavorable outcomes that we do not expect.

On August 20, 2009, NAI filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, accusing Vital Pharmaceutical, Inc. (VPX) and DNP International Co., Inc. (DNP) of infringing certain patents owned by NAI relating to the ingredient known as beta-alanine marketed and sold under the CarnoSyn® trade name. On August 8, 2011, a settlement agreement was reached between NAI and VPX. On August 3, 2011, NAI and CSI filed an amended and supplemental complaint against DNP reasserting claims for unfair competition and violation of the Delaware Deceptive Trade Practices Act. On September 8, 2011, NAI and CSI filed a voluntary notice of dismissal of the amended and supplemental complaint against DNP and filed a new complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging similar claims of unfair competition, violation of the Delaware Deceptive Trade Practices Act and interference with business relations. On December 22, 2011, DNP filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against NAI and CSI for declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of three of NAI's patents. On January 27, 2012, DNP amended its complaint to add declaratory judgment claims against a fourth NAI patent ('381 patent).

On December 21, 2011, NAI filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, accusing Woodbolt Distribution, LLC, also known as Cellucor (Woodbolt), Vitaquest International, Inc., d/b/a Garden State Nutritionals (Garden State) and F.H.G. Corporation, d/b/a Integrity Nutraceuticals (Integrity), of infringing NAI's '381 patent. The complaint alleges that Woodbolt sells nutritional supplements, including supplements containing beta-alanine such as C4 Extreme™, M5 Extreme™, and N-Zero Extreme™, that infringe '381 patent. Woodbolt, in turn, filed a complaint seeking a declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the '381 patent in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. On February 17, 2012, Woodbolt filed a First Amended Complaint, realleging its original claims against the Company and asserting new claims of violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act (15 U.S.C. § 2) and Unfair Competition. On June 5, 2012, the Court consolidated this suit with a second patent infringement case filed against Woodbolt by the Company on May 3, 2012, asserting infringement its '422 patent. On October 26, 2012, NAI filed a supplemental complaint adding allegations of infringement of Woodbolt's Cellucor Cor –Performance β-BCAA™ and Cellucor Cor –Performance™ Creatine products. Woodbolt has also requested *inter partes* reexamination of the '381 and '422 patents by the USPTO. On July 26, 2012, the USPTO accepted the request to reexam the '381 patent and on August 17, 2012 the USPTO accepted the request to re-exam the '422 patent.

A declaration of non-infringement, invalidity or unenforceability of certain of our patents could have a material adverse impact upon our business results, operations, and financial condition.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is intended to help you understand our financial condition and results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012. You should read the following discussion and analysis together with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements included under Item 1 in this report, as well as the risk factors and other information included in our 2012 Annual Report and other reports and documents we file with the SEC. Our future financial condition and results of operations will vary from our historical financial condition and results of operations described below based on a variety of factors.

Executive Overview

The following overview does not address all of the matters covered in the other sections of this Item 2 or other items in this report or contain all of the information that may be important to our stockholders or the investing public. This overview should be read in conjunction with the other sections of this Item 2 and this report.

Our primary business activity is providing private label contract manufacturing services to companies that market and distribute vitamins, minerals, herbs and other nutritional supplements, as well as other health care products, to consumers both within and outside the U.S. Historically, our revenue has been largely dependent on sales to one or two private label contract manufacturing customers and subject to variations in the timing of such customers' orders, which in turn is impacted by such customers' internal marketing programs, supply chain management, entry into new markets, new product introductions, the demand for such customers' products, and general industry and economic conditions. Our revenue also includes royalty, licensing revenue, and raw material sales generated from our patent estate pursuant to license and supply agreements with third parties for the distribution and use of the ingredient known as beta-alanine and sold under the CamoSyn® trade name.

A cornerstone of our business strategy is to achieve long-term growth and profitability and to diversify our sales base. We have sought and expect to continue to seek to diversify our sales by developing relationships with additional, quality-oriented, private label contract manufacturing customers, commercializing our patent estate through contract manufacturing, royalty and license agreements, and developing and growing our own line of branded products.

During the first three months of fiscal 2013, our net sales were 10.3% lower than in the first three months of fiscal 2012. Private label contract manufacturing sales decreased 11.2% due primarily to lower volumes of existing products to existing customers partially offset by new product sales to new customers. Revenue concentration risk for our two largest private label contract manufacturing customers decreased to 72% as a percentage of our total private label contract manufacturing sales for the first three months of fiscal 2013 compared to 77% in the first three months of fiscal 2012. We expect our contract manufacturing revenue concentration percentage for our two largest customers to decrease marginally during the remainder of fiscal 2013 with the anticipated addition of new customer sales and increased sales to existing customers.

During the first three months of fiscal 2013, CamoSyn® beta-alanine royalty and licensing revenue increased 3.0% to \$1.4 million as compared to \$1.3 million for the first three months of fiscal 2012. Included in the royalty and licensine revenue during the first quarter of fiscal 2013 was \$103,000 of raw material sales of beta-alanine as compared to zero raw material sales in the same period in fiscal 2012. During the second and third quarters of fiscal 2012, we purchased approximately \$3.2 million of beta-alanine raw material to help ensure sufficient inventory to meet anticipated future customer demand. During the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2012, we sold or used a majority of this inventory. As of September 30, 2012, our beta-alanine raw material inventory level had been reduced to zero. We do not anticipate the direct purchase and sale of material quantities of beta-alanine raw material during the remainder of fiscal 2013.

To protect our CamoSyn® business and its underlying patent estate, we incurred litigation and patent compliance expenses of approximately \$897,000 during the first quarter of fiscal 2013 and \$419,000 during the comparable period in fiscal 2012. We describe our efforts to protect our patent estate in more detail under Item 1 of Part II of 2012 Annual Report. Our ability to maintain or further increase our beta-alanine royalty and licensing revenue will depend in large part on the availability of the raw material beta-alanine when and in the amounts needed, the ability to expand distribution of beta-alanine to new and existing customers, maintaining our patent rights, and the continued compliance by third parties with our patent and trademark rights.

Net sales from our branded products declined 14.7% in the first three months of fiscal 2013 as compared to the first three months of fiscal 2012 due to the continued softening of sales of our Pathway to Healing® product line. During fiscal 2011 and 2012, we re-launched our Pathway to Healing® product line with updated product formulation, packaging, and marketing activities.

[Table of Contents](#)

During the remainder of fiscal 2013, we plan to continue to focus on:

- Leveraging our state of the art, certified facilities to increase the value of the goods and services we provide to our highly valued private label contract manufacturing customers, and assist us in developing relationships with additional quality oriented customers;
- Expanding the commercialization of our beta-alanine patent estate through contract manufacturing, royalty and license agreements and protecting our proprietary rights;
- Implementing focused initiatives to grow our Pathway to Healing® product line; and
- Improving operational efficiencies and managing costs and business risks to improve profitability.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and their accompanying notes. We have identified certain policies that we believe are important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations. These policies require the application of significant judgment by our management. We base our estimates on our historical experience, industry standards, and various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. An adverse effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, and results of operations could occur if circumstances change that alter the various assumptions or conditions used in such estimates or assumptions.

Our critical accounting policies are discussed under Item 7 of our 2012 Annual Report and recent accounting pronouncements are discussed under Item A to our Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report. There have been no significant changes to these policies or pronouncements during the three months ended September 30, 2012 other than as listed under Item A to our Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement contained in this Quarterly Report.

Results of Operations

The results of our operations for the three months ended September 30 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				Increase (Decrease)	
	September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011			
Private label contract manufacturing	\$ 14,744	89.6%	\$ 16,606	90.5%	\$ (1,862)	(11.2)%
Patent and trademark licensing	1,374	8.3%	1,334	7.3%	40	3.0%
Branded products	342	2.1%	401	2.2%	(59)	(14.7)%
Total net sales	16,460	100.0%	18,341	100.0%	(1,881)	(10.3)%
Cost of goods sold	12,876	78.2%	13,658	74.5%	(782)	(5.7)%
Gross profit	3,584	21.8%	4,683	25.5%	(1,099)	(23.5)%
Selling, general & administrative expenses	2,555	15.5%	2,336	12.7%	219	9.4%
Income from operations	1,029	6.3%	2,347	12.8%	(1,318)	(56.2)%
Other income, net	(12)	0.1%	83	0.5%	(95)	(114.5)%
Income before income taxes	1,017	6.2%	2,430	13.2%	(1,413)	(58.1)%
Income tax expense	320	1.9%	902	4.9%	(582)	(64.5)%
Net income	\$ 697	4.2%	\$ 1,528	8.3%	\$ (831)	(54.4)%

The percentage decrease in private label contract manufacturing net sales was primarily attributed to the following:

Mannatech, Incorporated ⁽¹⁾	(8.3)%
NSA International, Inc. ⁽²⁾	(4.2)
Other customers ⁽³⁾	1.3
Total	<u>(11.2)%</u>

¹ Net sales to Mannatech, Incorporated decreased primarily as a result of lower volumes of established products in existing markets.

Table of Contents

- ² The decrease in net sales to NSA International, Inc. included a decrease in international sales of 14.8% and a decrease in domestic sales of 4.0%. These sales decreases were due to lower consumer demand and unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates which generated lower average sales prices during the current year period.
- ³ The increase in net sales to other customers was primarily due to sales of new products for new and existing customers and a net increase in sales of existing products for existing customers.

Net sales from our patent and trademark licensing segment increased 3.0% during the first quarter of fiscal 2013 primarily due to a license fee of \$354,000 received from Abbott and \$103,000 of beta-alanine raw material sales, partially offset by decreased market demand for CamoSyn® beta-alanine.

Net sales from our branded products segment decreased 14.7% during the first quarter of fiscal 2013 due primarily to the continued softening of the Pathway to Healing® product line.

Gross profit margin decreased 3.7 percentage points primarily due to the following:

Contract manufacturing:	
Shift in sales mix and material cost	(1.8) ⁽¹⁾ %
Changes in overhead expenses	(0.4) ⁽¹⁾
Changes in direct and indirect labor	(2.1) ⁽¹⁾
Patent and trademark licensing	0.8 ⁽²⁾
Branded products operations	(0.2) ⁽³⁾
Total	<u>(3.7) %</u>

- ¹ Private label contract manufacturing gross profit margin decreased 4.7 percentage points in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 as compared to the comparable period in fiscal 2012. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of sales was primarily due to increase material costs as a percentage of sales and increased labor and overhead costs as compared to the prior year.
- ² Patent and trademark licensing gross profit margin decreased 0.8 percentage points to 79.6% in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 from 80.4% in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 due to a change in the mix of the source of revenue in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. Fiscal 2013 revenue included \$103,000 of beta-alanine raw material sales which generated a gross profit margin of 19.3% while beta-alanine raw material sales were zero during the first quarter of fiscal 2012.
- ³ Branded products gross profit margin decreased 6.0 percentage points to 37.0% in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 from 43.0% in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 due primarily to increased material costs and higher inventory write-offs.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$219,000, or 9.4% during fiscal 2013. This increase was attributed to a \$487,000 increase in patent and trademark licensing costs primarily attributed to increased patent litigation and prosecution expenses partially offset by a \$235,000 decrease in operating costs from our domestic contract manufacturing operation primarily related to decreased employee compensation. Selling, general and administrative expenses for our branded products business decreased \$32,000 as compared to the same prior year period.

Other income, net decreased \$95,000 during the first quarter of fiscal 2013 as compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year primarily due to unfavorable foreign currency exchange activity associated with the Euro.

Our income tax expense decreased \$582,000 during the first quarter of fiscal 2013 as compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year. The decrease was primarily due to the lower pre-tax income in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period. Additionally, the net effective tax rate decreased due to a larger percentage of pre-tax income generated by our foreign operations which has a lower tax rate.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity and capital resources are cash flows provided by operating activities and the availability of borrowings under our credit facility. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$288,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to net cash used by operating activities of \$1.4 million in the comparable quarter last year.

[Table of Contents](#)

At September 30, 2012, changes in accounts receivable, consisting primarily of amounts due from our private label contract manufacturing customers and our patent and trademark licensing activities, provided \$1.5 million in cash during the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to using \$3.4 million of cash used in the comparable prior year quarter. The increase in cash provided by accounts receivable during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 primarily resulted from lower sales and royalty and licensing revenue as compared to the prior year period. Days sales outstanding was 45 days during the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to 25 days for the prior year period. This increase is primarily attributable to increased receivables from our patent and trademark licensing business and the change in our private label contract manufacturing customer sales mix.

At September 30, 2012, changes in inventory used \$940,000 in cash during the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$2.6 million of cash used in the comparable prior year quarter. The decrease in cash used by inventory during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was primarily related to timing of inventory shipments and receipts along with decreased sales demand.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, NAIE's operations used \$240,000 of operating cash flow primarily due to timing of inventory receipts and sales. As of September 30, 2012, NAIE's undistributed retained earnings were considered indefinitely reinvested.

Cash used in investing activities in the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$233,000 compared to \$420,000 in the comparable quarter last year and were exclusively associated with capital purchases. Capital expenditures for both years were primarily for manufacturing equipment in our Vista, California and Manno, Switzerland facilities.

Cash used in financing activities in the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$113,000 compared to \$19,000 in the comparable quarter last year. Activity for both years was comprised primarily of amounts used to purchase treasury stock.

We did not have any consolidated debt as of September 30, 2012 or June 30, 2012.

On December 16, 2010, we executed a new Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. This Credit Agreement replaced our previous credit facility and provides us with a line of credit of up to \$5.0 million. The line of credit may be used to finance working capital requirements. In consideration for granting the line of credit, we paid a commitment fee of \$12,500 upon execution of the agreement and paid an additional commitment fee of \$12,500 in December 2011. There are no amounts currently drawn under the line of credit and the credit agreement expires on November 1, 2013.

Under the terms of the Credit Agreement, borrowings are subject to eligibility requirements including maintaining (i) net income after taxes of not less than \$750,000 on a trailing four quarter basis as of the end of each calendar quarter beginning with the four quarter period ended December 31, 2010; and (ii) a ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth of not greater than 1.25 to 1.0 at any time. Any amounts outstanding under the line of credit will bear interest at a fixed or fluctuating interest rate as elected by NAI from time to time; provided, however, that if the outstanding principal amount is less than \$100,000 such amount shall bear interest at the then applicable fluctuating rate of interest. If elected, the fluctuating rate per annum would be equal to 2.75% above the daily one month LIBOR rate as in effect from time to time. If a fixed rate is elected, it would equal a per annum rate of 2.50% above the LIBOR rate in effect on the first day of the applicable fixed rate term. Any amounts outstanding under the line of credit must be paid in full on or before November 1, 2013; provided, however, that we must maintain a zero balance on advances under the line of credit for a period of at least 30 consecutive days during each fiscal year. Amounts outstanding that are subject to a fluctuating interest rate may be prepaid at any time without penalty. Amounts outstanding that are subject to a fixed interest rate may be prepaid at any time in minimum amounts of \$100,000, subject to a prepayment fee equal to the sum of the discounted monthly differences for each month from the month of prepayment through the month in which the then applicable fixed rate term matures.

Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by our accounts receivable and other rights to payment, general intangibles, inventory, equipment and fixtures. We also have a foreign exchange facility with Wells Fargo in effect until November 1, 2013, and with Bank of America, N.A. in effect until March 15, 2013.

At September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the financial and other covenants required under the Credit Agreement.

On September 22, 2006, NAIE, our wholly owned subsidiary, entered into a credit facility to provide it with a credit line of up to CHF 1.3 million, or approximately \$1.4 million, which was the initial maximum aggregate amount that could be outstanding at any one time under the credit facility. This maximum amount is reduced annually by CHF 160,000, or approximately \$170,000. On February 19, 2007, NAIE amended its credit facility to provide that the maximum aggregate amount that may be outstanding under the facility cannot be reduced below CHF 500,000, or approximately \$532,000. As of September 30, 2012, there was no outstanding balance under this credit facility.

Under its credit facility, NAIE may draw amounts either as current account loan credits to its current or future bank accounts or as fixed loans with a maximum term of 24 months. Current account loans will bear interest at the rate of 5% per annum. Fixed loans will bear interest at a rate determined by the parties based on current market conditions and must be repaid pursuant to a repayment schedule established by the parties at the time of the loan. If a fixed loan is repaid early at NAIE's election or in connection with the termination of the credit facility, NAIE will be charged a pre-payment penalty equal to 0.1% of the principal amount of the fixed loan or CHF 1,000 (approximately \$1,064), whichever is greater. The bank reserves the right to refuse individual requests for an advance under the credit facility, although its exercise of such right will not have the effect of terminating the credit facility as a whole.

[Table of Contents](#)

As of September 30, 2012, we had \$14.4 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$5.5 million available under our credit facilities. We believe our available cash, cash equivalents and potential cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund our current working capital needs and capital expenditures through at least the next 12 months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2012, we did not have any off-balance sheet debt nor did we have any transactions, arrangements, obligations (including contingent obligations) or other relationships with any unconsolidated entities or other persons that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, capital resources, or significant components of revenue or expenses material to investors.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recent accounting pronouncements are discussed in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included under Item 1 of this report. Other than those pronouncements, we are not aware of any other pronouncements that materially affect our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain certain disclosure controls and procedures as defined under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. They are designed to help ensure that material information is: (1) gathered and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and financial officers, in a manner that allows for timely decisions regarding required disclosures; and (2) recorded, processed, summarized, reported and filed with the SEC as required under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and within the time periods specified by the SEC.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer), evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2012. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer) concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective for their intended purpose described above as of September 30, 2012.

There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we become involved in various investigations, claims and legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. These matters may relate to product liability, employment, intellectual property, tax, regulation, contract or other matters. The resolution of these matters as they arise will be subject to various uncertainties and, even if such claims are without merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources. While unfavorable outcomes are possible, based on available information, we generally do not believe the resolution of these matters will result in a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition, or results of operation. However, a settlement payment or unfavorable outcome could adversely impact our results of operation. Our evaluation of the likely impact of these actions could change in the future and we could have unfavorable outcomes that we do not expect.

On August 20, 2009, NAI filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, accusing Vital Pharmaceutical, Inc. (VPX) and DNP International Co., Inc. (DNP) of infringing certain patents owned by NAI relating to the ingredient known as beta-alanine marketed and sold under the CarnoSyn® trade name. On August 8, 2011, a settlement agreement was reached between NAI and VPX. On August 3, 2011, NAI and CSI filed an amended and supplemental complaint against DNP reasserting claims for unfair competition and violation of the Delaware Deceptive Trade Practices Act. On September 8, 2011, NAI and CSI filed a voluntary notice of dismissal of the amended and supplemental complaint against DNP and filed a new complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging similar claims of unfair competition, violation of the Delaware Deceptive Trade Practices Act and interference with business relations. On December 22, 2011, DNP filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against NAI and CSI for declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of three of NAI's patents. On January 27, 2012, DNP amended its complaint to add declaratory judgment claims against a fourth NAI patent ('381 patent).

On December 21, 2011, NAI filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, accusing Woodbolt Distribution, LLC, also known as Cellucor (Woodbolt), Vitaquest International, Inc., d/b/a Garden State Nutritionals (Garden State) and F.H.G. Corporation, d/b/a Integrity Nutraceuticals (Integrity), of infringing NAI's '381 patent. The complaint alleges that Woodbolt sells nutritional supplements, including supplements containing beta-alanine such as C4 Extreme™, M5 Extreme™, and N-Zero Extreme™, that infringe '381 patent. Woodbolt, in turn, filed a complaint seeking a declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the '381 patent in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. On February 17, 2012, Woodbolt filed a First Amended Complaint, realleging its original claims against the Company and asserting new claims of violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act (15 U.S.C. § 2) and Unfair Competition. On June 5, 2012, the Court consolidated this suit with a second patent infringement case filed against Woodbolt by the Company on May 3, 2012, asserting infringement of its '422 patent. On October 26, 2012, NAI filed a supplemental complaint adding allegations of infringement of Woodbolt's Cellucor Cor –Performance β-BCAA™ and Cellucor Cor –Performance™ Creatine products. Woodbolt has also requested *inter partes* reexamination of the '381 and '422 patents by the USPTO. On July 26, 2012, the USPTO accepted the request to re-exam the '381 patent and on August 17, 2012 the USPTO accepted the request to re-exam the '422 patent.

A declaration of non-infringement, invalidity or unenforceability of certain of our patents could have a material adverse impact upon our business results, operations, and financial condition.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described under Item 1A of our 2012 Annual Report, as well as the other information in our 2012 Annual Report, this report and other reports and documents we file with the SEC, when evaluating our business and future prospects. If any of the identified risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be seriously harmed. In that event, the market price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or a portion of the value of your investment in our common stock.

[Table of Contents](#)

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Repurchases

During the first quarter of fiscal 2013, we repurchased 27,433 shares of our common stock at a total cost of \$151,000 (including commissions and transaction fees) as set forth below:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ¹	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (as of September 30, 2012)
July 1, 2012 to July 31, 2012	27,433	\$5.49	27,433	\$1,018,843
August 1, 2012 to August 31, 2012				
September 1, 2012 to September 30, 2012				
Total	<u>27,433</u>		<u>27,433</u>	<u>\$1,018,843</u>

1. On June 3, 2011, we announced a plan to repurchase up to \$2 million of our shares of common stock.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibit index shows those exhibits filed with this report and those incorporated by reference:

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporated By Reference To
3(i)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Natural Alternatives International, Inc. filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on January 14, 2005	Exhibit 3(i) of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2004, filed with the commission on February 14, 2005
3(ii)	Amended and Restated By-laws of Natural Alternatives International, Inc. dated as of February 9, 2009	Exhibit 3(ii) of NAI's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009, filed with the commission on February 13, 2009
4(i)	Form of NAI's Common Stock Certificate	Exhibit 4(i) of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, filed with the commission on September 8, 2005
10.1	1999 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan as adopted effective May 10, 1999, amended effective January 30, 2004, and further amended effective December 3, 2004*	Exhibit 10.1 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2004, filed with the commission on February 14, 2005
10.2	Amended and Restated Exclusive License Agreement effective as of September 1, 2004 by and among NAI and Dr. Reginald B. Cherry	Exhibit 10.11 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, filed with the commission on September 14, 2004
10.3	Exclusive License Agreement effective as of September 1, 2004 by and among NAI and Reginald B. Cherry Ministries, Inc.	Exhibit 10.12 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, filed with the commission on September 14, 2004
10.4	First Amendment to Exclusive License Agreement effective as of December 10, 2004 by and among NAI and Reginald B. Cherry Ministries, Inc.	Exhibit 10.13 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2004, filed with the commission on February 14, 2005
10.5	Lease of Facilities in Vista, California between NAI and Calwest Industrial Properties, LLC, a California limited liability company (lease reference date June 12, 2003)	Exhibit 10.10 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003, filed with the commission on November 5, 2003
10.6	Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into between NAI and each of its directors	Exhibit 10.15 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, filed with the commission on September 14, 2004
10.7	Lease of Facilities in Manno, Switzerland between NAIE and Mr. Silvio Tarchini dated May 9, 2005 (English translation)	Exhibit 10.19 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005, filed with the commission on May 13, 2005
10.8	Lease of Facilities in Manno, Switzerland between NAIE and Mr. Silvio Tarchini dated July 25, 2003 (English translation)	Exhibit 10.19 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, filed with the commission on September 8, 2005
10.9	Lease of Facilities in Manno, Switzerland between NAIE and Mr. Silvio Tarchini dated June 8, 2004 (English translation)	Exhibit 10.20 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, filed with the commission on September 8, 2005
10.10	Lease of Facilities in Manno, Switzerland between NAIE and Mr. Silvio Tarchini dated February 7, 2005 (English translation)	Exhibit 10.21 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, filed with the commission on September 8, 2005
10.11	Amendment effective as of September 15, 2005 to Lease of Facilities in Manno, Switzerland between NAIE and Mr. Silvio Tarchini dated May 9, 2005 (English translation)	Exhibit 10.24 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005, filed with the commission on November 4, 2005
10.12	Loan Agreement between NAIE and Credit Suisse dated as of September 22, 2006, including general conditions (portions of the Loan Agreement have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment)	Exhibit 10.36 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006, filed with the commission on November 1, 2006
10.13	First Amendment to Loan Agreement between NAIE and Credit Suisse dated as of February 19, 2007	Exhibit 10.41 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007, filed with the commission on May 14, 2007
10.14	2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan*	Exhibit D of NAI's definitive Proxy Statement filed with the commission on October 16, 2009
10.15	Manufacturing Agreement by and between NSA, Inc. and NAI dated April 1, 2005	Exhibit 10.43 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010

Table of Contents

10.16	Manufacturing Agreement by and between Mannatech, Inc. and NAI dated April 22, 1998	Exhibit 10.44 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010
10.17	First Amendment to Manufacturing Agreement by and between Mannatech, Incorporated and NAI dated May 23, 2003	Exhibit 10.45 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010
10.18	Second Amendment to Manufacturing Agreement by and between Mannatech, Incorporated and NAI dated July 1, 2003	Exhibit 10.46 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010
10.19	Third Amendment to Manufacturing Agreement by and between Mannatech, Incorporated and NAI dated July 1, 2004	Exhibit 10.47 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010
10.20	Fourth Amendment to Manufacturing Agreement by and among Mannatech, Incorporated, Mannatech Swiss International GmbH and NAI dated January 1, 2008	Exhibit 10.48 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010
10.21	Manufacturing Sales Agreement by and between Mannatech, Incorporated and NAI dated November 19, 2004	Exhibit 10.49 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010
10.22	Amendment to Manufacturing Sales Agreement by and among Mannatech, Incorporated, Mannatech Swiss International GmbH and NAI dated January 1, 2008	Exhibit 10.50 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010
10.23	Exclusive Manufacturing Agreement by and between NSA, Inc., NAI and NAIE dated as of April 1, 2005	Exhibit 10.51 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009, filed with the commission on February 16, 2010
10.24	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of August 31, 2010, by and between NAI and Mark A. LeDoux*	Exhibit 10.41 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, filed with the commission on September 17, 2010
10.25	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of August 31, 2010, by and between NAI and Kenneth E. Wolf	Exhibit 10.42 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, filed with the commission on September 17, 2010
10.26	License and Fee Agreement effective November 10, 2010 by and among Roger Harris, Mark Dunnett, Kenny Johansson and NAI	Exhibit 10.40 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010, filed with the commission on November 12, 2010
10.27	Credit Agreement by and between NAI and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. effective as of December 1, 2010	Exhibit 10.1 of NAI's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2010, filed with the commission on December 22, 2010
10.28	ISDA 2002 Master Agreement dated as of March 10, 2011 by and between Bank of America N.A. and NAI (with Schedule dated March 10, 2011)	Exhibit 10.31 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011, filed with the commission on May 16, 2011
10.29	Agreement to License by and between NAI and Compound Solutions, Inc. effective as of July 1, 2011	Exhibit 10.31 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, filed with the commission on September 22, 2011
10.30	First Amendment to Credit Agreement by and between NAI and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. effective as of November 28, 2011	Exhibit 10.1 of NAI's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 27, 2011, filed with the commission on December 30, 2011
10.31	Revolving Line of Credit Note made by NAI for the benefit of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. dated November 28, 2011 in the amount of \$5,000,000	Exhibit 10.2 of NAI's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 27, 2011, filed with the commission on December 30, 2011
10.32	Lease of Facilities in Manno, Switzerland between NAIE and Mr. Silvio Tarchini dated January 1, 2012 (English translation)	Exhibit 10.32 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2011, filed with the commission on February 13, 2012
10.33	First Amendment to Agreement to License by and between NAI and Compound Solutions, Inc. effective as of January 6, 2012	Exhibit 10.33 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012, filed with the commission on May 14, 2012
10.34	Second Amendment to Agreement to License by and between NAI and Compound Solutions, Inc. effective as of March 19, 2012	Exhibit 10.34 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012, filed with the commission on May 14, 2012

Table of Contents

10.35	First Amendment to Manufacturing Agreement by and between NSA, Inc. and NAI effective as of April 1, 2012	Exhibit 10.35 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012, filed with the commission on May 14, 2012
10.36	First Amendment to Exclusive Manufacturing Agreement by and between NSA, Inc., NAI and NAIE effective as of April 1, 2005.	Exhibit 10.36 of NAI's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012, filed with the commission on May 14, 2012
10.37	Lease of Facilities in Manno, Switzerland between NAIE and Mr. Silvio Tarchini dated September 3, 2012 (English translation).	Exhibit 10.37 of NAI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, filed with the commission on September 21, 2012
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer	Filed herewith
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer	Filed herewith
32	Section 1350 Certification	Filed herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document**	Furnished herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document**	Furnished herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document**	Furnished herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document**	Furnished herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document**	Furnished herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document**	Furnished herewith

* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Natural Alternatives International, Inc., the registrant, has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 14, 2012

NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

By: /s/ Mark A. LeDoux
Mark A. LeDoux, Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

By: /s/ Kenneth E. Wolf
Kenneth E. Wolf, Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial and accounting officer)

Mr. Wolf is the principal financial officer of Natural Alternatives International, Inc. and has been duly authorized to sign on its behalf.

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer
Pursuant to
Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)**

I, Mark A. LeDoux, Chief Executive Officer of Natural Alternatives International, Inc., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Natural Alternatives International, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 14, 2012

/s/ Mark A. LeDoux

Mark A. LeDoux, Chief Executive Officer

**Certification of Chief Financial Officer
Pursuant to
Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)**

I, Ken Wolf, Chief Financial Officer of Natural Alternatives International, Inc., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Natural Alternatives International, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 14, 2012

/s/ Kenneth E. Wolf

Kenneth E. Wolf, Chief Financial Officer

Certification
Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
(Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code)

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code), each of the undersigned officers of Natural Alternatives International, Inc., a Delaware corporation, does hereby certify, to such officer's knowledge, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 of Natural Alternatives International, Inc. fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)) and that information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Natural Alternatives International, Inc.

Date: November 14, 2012

/s/ Mark A. LeDoux

Mark A. LeDoux, Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 14, 2012

/s/ Kenneth E. Wolf

Kenneth E. Wolf, Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code) and is not being filed as part of the Form 10-Q or as a separate disclosure document.

